

Title: Matthew, Mark, Luke Vs the False Gospel of John

Subtitle: Religious Resolution

Author: wizanda

Date: 2015/1/30

URL: <https://www.wizanda.com/modules/article/view.article.php/c3/291>

Keywords: John, False, Fake, Anti-Christ, Deception, Yeshua, Jesus, Bible

Summary: A clear explanation of the contradictions between John, and the

With a careful examination of Yeshua's character within Matthew, Mark and Luke, there is an overall matching concept within the first 3; yet on examining John it is a completely different character, with numerous contradictions in theology and testimony overall.

Yeshua answered in parables, there are no real parables within the gospels. The destroying of the temple, and then the bit about 'it being rebuilt in 3 days' is a false witness, according to Mark, whereas John is told as truth, and claims to be in reference to the body. When it is entirely clear that the witness **stated** "not one brick shall stand on top of another." Clearly he didn't say it hasn't been rebuilt.

Within the synoptic gospels, we find Yeshua turning over the money table and driving them out. Within John we find Jesus being accused of tying knots at the end of a whip, and then driving them out; this is used to portray Yeshua as being violent. Jesus in the gospel of John is said to be asked to a party by his disciples, then goes to the party secretly; this is used to say that he lied.

When asked about eternal life, Yeshua stated to follow the commandments and what's more, to love him, and to love your neighbor. In John all you need to do is believe in his name, and the Jews to die as a sacrifice.

Yeshua stated to receive grace, you should give grace, and should do good without questioning reward. John creates the statement the Lamb of God, which implies you get grace from a human sacrifice.

Whereas Yeshua sent his disciples out into the lost sheep of Israel; in John he stays in the world.

Whereas within the synoptic gospels and in prophecy, 'he was led up as a lamb to the slaughter' is the word in response. In John there is a long conversation about being 'the King of the Jews' and Pilate's reason to kill him'.

Yeshua warns against those that would come after in all 3 gospels, and uses the term 'son of man' (Am) to deceive many; which is used in John. These statements are then used by Jesus as claiming himself to be God. If we examine the synoptic gospels, Yeshua used that term for God.

Yeshua said 'call no man on this earth your father'; whereas in John we find him saying 'that he whom has seen me, has seen the father'.

Throughout the Tanakh, and then in the synoptic gospels, the Holy Spirit is promised, then need to send it in the gospel of John.

Yeshua relates all doing the work of God (peacemakers), can become children of God. The term 'the only begotten son' used only within John.

This is still only a start to the many contradictions within John, as clear

theology throughout....

Take into account, that who ever wrote the gospel of John, had a good knowledge of the things spoken behind closed doors of the pharisees and high council; as it records many of these conversations that were private.

It also is only found within the gospel of John, about a private meeting between Nicodemus, a pharisee, and Jesus at night. It is not even mentioned in the synoptic gospels. It is said 'it is not again'; so the only person likely able to record the conversations found in the gospel would be Nicodemus.